





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/738,234	12/15/2000	Brian J. Roberts	3345 - 2212	2950
7	590 10/03/2002			
Keith R. Haupt, Esq; Wood, Herron & Evans, LLP 2700 Carew Tower			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
Cincinnati, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/738,234 Applicant(s)

Roberts et al.

Act Unit

	•	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Clark F. Dexter	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	for Reply					
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	i no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS to	rom the		
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply			ation.		
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	S.C. § 133).	BLIOTT.		
	sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may re-	duce any			
Status		•				
1)[汉	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jul 16, 2</u>	002		<u> </u>		
2a) 💢	·	ction is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			nerits is		
	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s), 27-29 and 31	is/are	pending in the a	pplication.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) 27-29 and 31			İ		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to).		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or electi	on requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed on	6, 2002 is: a) 🗓 approved	b)☐ disapproved	I by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	-			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iiner.				
`	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application N	lo	·		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Sta	ge		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
_	The translation of the foreign language provision					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120) and/or 121.			
Attachm	ient(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 []	*			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	P10-152)			
V ,	The state of the s	o, one.				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed April 4, 2002 and July 16, 2002 have been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on July 16, 2002 have been **approved**. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 26-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schafer, pn 5,492,398.

Schafer discloses a dispensing structure with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks a counter having a counter top. However, the Examiner takes

Official notice that it is old and well known to provide counter tops for supporting dispensing devices for various known benefits including displaying and/or facilitating access to the dispensing device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a counter having a counter top and providing the dispensing device adjacent thereto (e.g., on the counter top) for supporting the dispensing device of Schafer for the well known benefits including those described above.

Art Unit: 3724

Further, Schafer lacks the front and rear covers each hingedly mounted to a remainder of the housing. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a housing configuration is old and well known in the art for various known benefits including providing increased access into the housing. For example, housings are known which are similar to that of Schafer but have the top and rear portions of the housing form an integral L-shaped housing member that is hingedly mounted to the base such that upon opening the front cover, the top/rear member is free to be opened thus giving increased access to inside the housing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide such a housing configuration on the device of Schafer for the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claim 28, Schafer lacks the specifics of the counter top and the relationship between the counter top and the dispenser housing. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide rails on counter tops for various known benefits including preventing items from falling off the counter top. Further, the Examiner takes Official notice that it is known in the art to provide dispenser housings with protruding portions for facilitating access to a dispensing device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide claimed specifics of the counter top and the relationship between the counter top and the dispenser housing for the well known benefits including those described above.

Art Unit: 3724

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3590; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd October 1, 2002